

VA246-14-R-0318 A00003

General Solicitation Questions/Answers

- 1.) Is it true that overnight delivery of proposals will not make it to the contracting department even if it has been delivered by 10:30 a.m. in the morning on the due date?

This was answered previously in A00001.

To further clarify, and in accordance with FAR 15.208(b)(ii), any proposal that is received at the designated Government office after the exact time specified for receipt of proposals is “late” and will not be considered unless it is received before award is made, the contracting officer determines that accepting the late proposal would not unduly delay the acquisition; and there is acceptable evidence to establish that it was received at the Government installation designated for receipt of proposals and was under the Government’s control prior to the time set for receipt of proposals.

- 2.) Section 00110 Submission Requirements and Instructions, part 1.6.1.1 and 1.6.1.2 both reference Section 00010 and it states that no amounts should be stated in the basic contract schedule in Section 00010. The contract schedule is contained on page 5 of the solicitation, but is not contained in this section. Section 00010 is a Notes section. Are we required to submit section 00010 with our completed SF 1442?

No – Section 00010 does not need to be submitted with the SF1442.

- 3.) Can you separate the four (4) MATOC proposal due dates?

The four MATOC proposal due dates will be slightly separated via a solicitation amendment.

Past Performance Questions/Answers

- 1.) Since the project was delayed, we are not certain if our PPQs were sent or not. Also, with the number of proposals we are now faced with completing because of the government’s fiscal year end and the workload on COs and CORs, we are not assured that additional requests for Exhibit Cs will be completed and submitted. May we use evaluations (detailed NAVFAC forms) completed within the last 60 days in lieu of having to request additional evaluations to be completed?

This question was previously answered in A00001.

- 2.) Before amendment A00003 was issued we had already sent out our Exhibit B sub-contractor consent forms and Exhibit C PPQs. We have already received back the sub-contractor consent forms and have been informed that PPQs have been sent to contracting per the requirement in the solicitation. Will these be valid for the revised proposal due date? Since the PPQs do not come back to us, are we to assume that you will be maintaining them?

Yes – Contracting has been maintaining files for all PPQs received to date, and will continue to maintain them until the revised proposal due date/time. Any PPQs received after the revised proposal due date/time will be considered late and may not be considered.

Seed/Prototypical Questions/Answers

- 1.) On sheet AF-4 the square feet for the Acoustical Ceiling is 5,391 and currently we are only seeing about 3,400 of that based on the legend. What other rooms on this sheet have the acoustical ceiling replacement? Do we use the values in the legend?

The number of 5,391 (plus or minus) is the correct number to use. See attached drawing AF-4 that has been marked up to show areas in which acoustical ceiling tile/grid shall be replaced – highlighted in green. Contractor is however responsible to field measure the space before beginning any work in this area.

- 2.) This question is regarding the accordion doors/walls. In most places they are clearly marked, but should we include every instance that we see the zig-zag line? Are there any support details for the doors/walls?

See attached drawing AF-4 that has been marked up to show where the accordion doors are to be installed - highlighted in a red revision cloud.

- 3.) On the GS100 title sheet there is a sheet AF-9 listed, however we have AF-10 instead. Is there a sheet that we are missing or are they labeled incorrectly?

There was originally a sheet AF-9, but it was removed prior to including as a MATOC seed project. The title sheet GS100 should have been corrected and the reference to sheet AF-9 removed.

- 4.) Building 143 is mentioned in the Statement of Work; however there is no corresponding drawing. Please advise.

The reference to Building 143 in the Statement of Work should be disregarded as it is not part of this project.

END A00003 Responses and Revisions